Editor: Brian Senior $\quad$ Bulletin No. $3 \quad$ Monday A ugust 14th 2006

Swiss Pairs for the Harold Poster Cup
Final Rankings

| Position |  | VPs | Greens |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | lan Panto \& Tony W aterlow | 215 | 19.00 |
| 2 | Alan Kay \& Jerry Cope | 212 | 16.25 |
| 3 | N eil Rosen \& Martin Jones | 208 | 15.00 |
| 4 | lan Pagan \& Chris Jagger | 206 | 13.50 |
| 5 | David Bakhshi \& Peter Crouch | 198 | 13.00 |
| $6=$ | Martin Garvey \& John Howard | 197 | 12.50 |
| $6=$ | Keith Bennett \& Jeremy Dhondy | 197 | 12.50 |
| 8 | Tim Brierley \& Mike Elliott | 196 | 11.75 |
| 9 | Matt Haag \& D arren Evetts | 195 | 11.50 |
| 10 | Rune Hauge \& Jon-Egil Furunes | 194 | 10.50 |
| $11=$ | Kath N elson \& Steve Eginton | 191 | 10.00 |
| $11=$ | Mike Scoltock \& Brian Powell | 191 | 10.00 |
| $11=$ | Alex W ilkinson \& Tony W ilkinson | 191 | 10.00 |
| 14 | Paul Lamford \& Stefanie Rohan | 190 | 9.25 |
| 15 | Lynton Stock \&Victor Silverstone | 188 | 8.75 |
| $16=$ | Chris Cooper \& Nigel D ent | 186 | 9.00 |
| $16=$ | Brian Senior \& Geoffrey W olfarth | 186 | 8.50 |
| $18=$ | John Sansom \& Richard Jephcott | 185 | 8.00 |
| $18=$ | Jim Grant \& Stefan Lindfors | 185 | 8.00 |
| $20=$ | Chris Dixon \& Michael Byrne | 184 | 6.75 |
| $20=$ | Stewart Fishburne \& John Yuill | 184 | 7.50 |
| $20=$ | Peter Kaufmann \& D ick Shek | 184 | 7.50 |



The W inners of the Swiss Pairs and the Harold Poster Cup:

Ian Panto and Tony W aterlow

Position

| $23=$ | Ian Draper \& C atherine Draper | 183 | 6.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23= | Penny Macleod \& Richard Currie | 183 | 7.00 |
| 25= | Stephen Burton \& Tony Clark | 182 | 6.25 |
| 25= | Alex Maddocks \& Bill Godenzie | 182 | 6.00 |
| 25= | Ron Davis \& Monica Lucy | 182 | 5.75 |
| 28= | Matthew Hoskins \& Andrew Southwell | 180 | 5.75 |
| $28=$ | Andrew W oodcock \& Craig Fisher | 180 | 6.00 |
| $30=$ | David Herman \& Robert Brinig | 179 | 5.75 |
| $30=$ | Gordon Rainsford \& Dom Goodwin | 179 | 5.75 |
| $30=$ | Jeff Smith \& Alan N elson | 179 | 5.75 |
| 33= | Anne Moncrief \& lan Bruce | 178 | 5.50 |
| 33= | Marilyn N athan \& Artur Malinowski | 178 | 5.25 |
| 33= | Adrian Scheps \& Steve Popham | 178 | 5.25 |
| 33= | Tom Paske \& Ed Jones | 178 | 5.25 |
| 37 | Jack Stocken \& Peter Stocken | 177.5 | 5.25 |
| 38= | Pat Davies \& G wynn Davis | 177 | 5.00 |
| 38= | Espen Erichsen \& Susanna Gross | 177 | 5.25 |
| $40=$ | Michael W atson \& Jacek Lapszys | 176 | 5.00 |
| $40=$ | Andy Bowles \& Shireen Mohandes | 176 | 5.00 |
| $40=$ | N orman Agran \& Martine Rothschild | 176 | 5.00 |
| $43=$ | Paul Fegarty \& C atherine Curtis | 175 | 4.75 |
| $43=$ | Celia Oram \& Derek Oram | 175 | 4.75 |
| $43=$ | Dominic Pinto \& Paul Huggins | 175 | 4.75 |
| $43=$ | Peter Hall \& N igel Marlow | 175 | 4.50 |
| $43=$ | Ray Cornell \& Tessa Beer | 175 | 4.75 |
| 43= | Trevor Thrower \& Robert Miller | 175 | 5.00 |
| 43= | Andrew Moore \& Jane Moore | 175 | 4.75 |
| $43=$ | Jill Feldman \& Richard Bowdery | 175 | 4.75 |
| $51=$ | Michael Scanlon \& Robert Morris | 173 | 4.25 |
| 51= | Ursula Harper \& Martin Hoffman | 173 | 4.25 |
| 53= | Jeremy Baker \& Steve Auchterlonie | 172 | 4.00 |
| 53= | Alan Green \& D avid C lark | 172 | 4.25 |
| 53= | Bernard Teltscher \& M ark Teltscher | 172 | 4.50 |
| 53= | David Beal \& John Murrell | 172 | 4.00 |
| 53= | David Dawson \& Sheena Lanham | 172 | 4.25 |
| 53= | M arietta A ndree \& A nila Bahal | 172 | 4.50 |
| 53= | Andrew Fenn \& Chris Cook | 172 | 4.25 |
| $60=$ | Clive 0 wen \& Bill March | 171 | 4.00 |
| $60=$ | Simon Mostyn \& Colin Holehouse | 171 | 3.75 |
| $62=$ | Geoff $N$ icholas \& Debbie Roberts | 170 | 3.75 |
| $62=$ | Ed Scerri \& Chris Burley | 170 | 4.50 |
| $62=$ | Zebedee Stocken \& Adam Dunn | 170 | 4.00 |
| $62=$ | Jack Mizel \& Andrew Mcintosh | 170 | 4.00 |
| $62=$ | Sarah Dunn \& David Ewart | 170 | 4.00 |
| $62=$ | $N$ athan Piper \& Bernard Magee | 170 | 3.75 |
| $68=$ | Brenda Cross \& D avid Cross | 169 | 4.00 |
| $68=$ | C ecil Leighton \& Lionel W ernick | 169 | 4.25 |



## Swiss Pairs- Session Two

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | $[1062$ ] 53 A J 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { A } 7 \\ \text { K Q } 9764 \\ \text { Q } 72 \\ 102 \end{array}\right.$ | N |  |
|  | W E |  |
|  | 5 |  |
|  | [ ${ }^{1} 854$ |  |
|  | \{ 1098 |  |
|  | \} AKQ J |  |

W hen Peter Hall declared the popular contract of 4] from the W est seat, he was favoured with the lead of the ace of diamonds from North, who then switched to the ten of spades. This appears to cost one trick, as declarer can discard a club loser on the queen of spades, however, it is actually worse than that.

Hall, who was partnering N igel Marlow, won the ace of spades and drew trumps then with nothing better to do, cashed all his red-suit winners, just in case the same defender might hold both the long spades and all four missing club honours. Lo and behold, South was caught in a black-suit squeeze, declarer's $\} 10$ being the second menace, and H all had twelve tricks for an excellent result.

Board 31. Dealer South. N/SVul.

|  |  | [ $\begin{array}{llllll}A & 10 & 5 & 3 \\ \text { A } & 10 & 8 & 7 \\ 4 & \\ \}\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N |  |
|  | $W^{\text {N }}$ E |  |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | [ $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 2 \\ \mathrm{~K} & 6 & 5\end{array}$ |  |
|  | $\{\mathrm{K} 0107$ |  |
|  | \} AK 4 |  |
| West | North East | South |
|  | Owen | March |
| - | - - |  |
| Pass | 1NT Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3] Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |

A lot of people were going down in 3 NT on this deal fromRound 7, but Clive 0 wen, playing with Bill March, made an overtrick.

East led the ten of clubs which Owen won in dummy. He proceeded to get the diamonds right
and to cash all four rounds of the suit, forcing East to find three discards. The chosen discards were a club and two spades.

O wen next played a spade to the queen, followed by two rounds of clubs. East unblocked the queen, so that West could win the third club, and he switched to the jack of hearts,which ran to the king. O wen now ducked a spade to the bare ace and East was endplayed to lead up to the queen of hearts to give ten tricks. Nicely done.

## Swiss Pairs - SessionThree

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


Roughly half the field reached the heart slam on this one, but the overtrick was worth about a quarter of a top so well worth having.

On a club lead, there are only twelve tricks as declarer's communications are broken up. However, N orth will usually have bid diamonds and a diamond lead becomes likely. Now the communications are there for a simple minor-suit squeeze against N orth.

Declarer wins the diamond and draws trumps, ending in dummy, and ruffs a diamond. If he wishes to play safe, he can then cross back to dummy with a trump to ruff another diamond, just in case the suit is not six-two - as will frequently have been indicated by the auction. Then he cashes his last trump, throwing a club from dummy.

W hen declarer next cashes the spades, N orth has to unguard the clubs to keep a diamond winner, dummy's nine being a menace against him, so the ace and jack of clubs take the last two tricks. An initial club lead would have meant that there would be no way to get to the winning $\}$ J at the end.

## Correction

The correct address for the website for the Buffett Cup is: www.buffettcup.com and not that given yesterday.

Board 10. Dealer East. All Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{l} \text { A } 107 \\ \text { ] Q J } 53 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ \text { AK } 962 \end{array}\right.} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{l} \text { J } 54 \\ \text { A } 109 \\ \{\text { A Q } 102 \\ \} \\ \text { J } 108 \end{array}\right.} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| West | North East Senior | South Wolfarth |
| - | Pass | Pass |
| 1 \} | Dble 1[ | Pass |
| 2[ | Pass Pass | D ble |
| Pass | 3\{ Pass | Pass |
| 3[ | All Pass |  |

West's 3[ bid may look a little strange, with only three-card support, but the partnership style is normally to have five cards for the 1 [ bid, and that would make a big difference to the viability of the final contract.As it was, the rest of the hand was so favourable that declarer was very close to making 3[ .

Geoff W olfarth led a low diamond to my ace and, not liking to lead a trump away from the jack with ace-ten in dummy and declarer, apparently, having five cards in the suit, looked for an alternative defence.

It appeared that the everything was breaking very nicely for declarer, so I decided to attack his trump situation by forcing dummy to ruff.

Dummy duly ruffed the diamond return and declarer played the ] Q, ducked, and a low heart, which I won with the ace.

A third diamond brought dummy down to bare ace of trumps. Declarer played three rounds of clubs, ruffing, then cashed the king of hearts. Finally, he ruffed his last diamond with the ace, leaving everyone with just trumps left.

W hen declarer now played a card from dummy, I ruffed in with the jack and he was caught. In practice, he over-ruffed with the queen and South in turn over-ruffed and claimed for one down.

Had declarer under-ruffed, then so would have South, and a spade through at trick twelve would have produced the same result.

Declarer needed only the [ 8 instead of the six to make his contract.

| Trivia Quiz 3 <br> Middle Names <br> W hat were the middle names of the following <br> American Presidents? <br>  <br> 1. John Kennedy <br> 2. Franklin Roosevelt <br> 3. Richard N ixon <br> 4. Dwight Eisenhower <br> 5. Lyndon Johnson <br> 6. Bill Clinton <br> 7. Ronald Reagan <br> 8. Jimmy Carter <br> 9.W illiam Taft <br> 10. Harry Truman |
| :--- |

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{lll} Q & 4 \\ \text { ] A } & 3 & 2 \\ \begin{cases}85 & 3\end{cases} \\ \} & 97 & 3 \end{array}\right.} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $W^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{S}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K J } 103 \\ & \text { ] Q } 9754 \\ & \left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { AK } \end{array}\right. \\ & \} 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West | North East | South |
|  | Green | Happer |
| Pass <br> All Pass | $2[3\}$ | $4[$ |

Ben Green received the lead of a diamond against his spade game. He won and played two more rounds of diamonds, throwing away both his heart losers. Now Easr erred when he tried to cash the king of hearts.

Ben ruffed and drew trumps then led the queen of hearts, pinning the eight as he ruffed out the ace. He gave up a club, ruffed the return and could take a ruffing finesse against the jack of hearts to establish a winner to take care of the club for which he did not have a trump in dummy. That was eleven tricks for +450 and a lot of matchpoints.

Gwyn Davies found a better time at which to attempt to cash the king of hearts against the same contract at another table. He led it at trick one and continued the suit. Pat Davies won and played a third round, and there was no way for declarer, Malcolm Pryor to avoid the loss of a trump trick. In practice, he ruffed high then finessed East for the [ Q , but that lost, of course - one down and this time the matchpoints went to East/W est.

| 1. Fitzgerald <br> 2. Delano <br> 3. Millhouse <br> 4. David <br> 5. Baines <br> 6. Jefferson <br> 7.W ilson <br> 8. Earl <br> 9. Howard <br> 10. The middle initial ' $S$ ', according to Truman himself, did not actually stand for any particular name, being a compromise between the names of his two grandfathers, Anderson Shipp Truman and Solomon Young. |
| :---: |

## Just Another Dull Push

This was an amusing board from the recent ACBL N ationals in Chicago.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/SVul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K J } 3 \\ & \text { ] J } 75 \\ & \{1062 \\ & \} \text { J } 832 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [ } 854 \\ & \text { ] AK } 9862 \\ & \{J \text { J } \\ & \} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Q } 2 \\ & \text { Q } 104 \\ & \text { K } 87 \\ & 1076 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{N}$ |  |  |
|  | W |  |  |
|  | S |  |  |
|  | [ 109 |  |  |
|  | \{ A Q | 9543 |  |
|  | \} A 9 |  |  |
| West | N orth | East | South |
| - | 1] | Pass |  |
| Pass | $2]$ | Pass | 3\{ |
| Pass | 3 [ | Pass | 4\{ |
| Pass | $4]$ | Pass | 4[ |
| Pass | $5\}$ | Pass | 5\{ |
| Pass | 6[ | All Pas |  |

North blamed his partnership]s Two-over-one game-forcing style for the disaster, as it prevented South from responding $2\{$ at his first turn. W ith each subsequent round of the auction, North thought that his hand was getting better and better for slam as he imagined his partner to have a strong spade holding. However, as he was merrily showing his controls, South was desperately trying to signoff.

The only slight bright spot was that it was difficult for either opponent to double the final contract.

South managed to scramble nine tricks in his ridiculous contract for -300 , which seemed to be a certain 14-IMP loss. After all,who could imagine bidding a slam off the top four trump honours?

Miraculously, when North/South scored up with their team-mates they found that it was a flat board - the same crazy slam had been reached at the other table!

## And More Lunacy

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{lll} A & 2 \\ \text { K } & 4 & 3 \end{array}\right]} \\ & \left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { A J } \end{array} 10\right. \\ & \} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{ll} 8 & 6 \\ ] & A \end{array}\right] \text { J } 5} \\ & 9 \\ & 9854 \\ & \} \\ & \text { J } 105 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $W^{N} \mathrm{~S}$ E |  |
|  |  |  |
| West | North East Furunes | South Hauge |
| 1NT | - Pass | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass 2\} | Pass |
| 2] | Pass 2[ | D ble |

Norwegian pair Jon-Egil Furunes and Rune Hauge must have greatly appreciated English hospitality on this deal from Round 13 of the Swiss Pairs. The N orwegians had a great last six rounds and moved up to a top ten finish after an indifferent first two sessions. This board did their cause no harm.

East's 2[ bid showed four cards and was forcing for one round.W hat the redouble meant according to the East/W est system, I don't know, but I do know that it meant two different things to East and W est, because to play 2 [ redoubled on these cards is obviously ridiculous.

D eclarer might have done a little better, but he was never going to get even a single matchpoint. He was four down for -2200 and East/W est had something to discuss over dinner.

## Bulletin Hands

We have a D aily Bulletin every day throughout the week so please, if you have an interesting hand from any event at the congress, give me the details and you will get your 15 minutes of fame.

Brian Senior

